

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,652	04/18/2005	Shinya Sugiyama	37122	2287	
116 PEARNE & G	7590 01/30/200 ORDON LLP	EXAMINER			
1801 EAST 97		<u>.</u>	MEHRPOUR	MEHRPOUR, NÄGHMEH	
SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2617		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MC	ONTHS	01/30/2007	PAF	PER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>-,</del>			
Office Action Summary		10/511,652	SUGIYAMA ET AL.	SUGIYAMA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Naghmeh Mehrpour	2617				
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication app for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addr	ess			
A \$ WI - E	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dixtensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 fter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute may reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH c, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION.  ly be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this com-				
Status							
1)[ 2a)[ 3)[	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matter	•	nerits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	ех рапе Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispo	sition of Claims						
5)[	Claim(s) <u>1, 3-8</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.	wn from consideration.					
Applic	ation Papers						
	<u> </u>	<b></b>	· _				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			1.121(d).			
11)[	$\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO	-152.			
Priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[	☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2.☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Appointy documents have been re In (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National St	age			
Attachm	• •						
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
3) 🔲 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) aper No(s)/Mail Date		rmal Patent Application	•			

Art Unit: 2617

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Transitional After Final Practice

1. The finality of the previous Office action is hereby withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.129(a). Applicant's response submission after final filed on 12/26/06 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 1, 3, 5-8, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kishimoto et al. (US patent 7,016, 703).

Regarding claim 1, Kishimoto teaches an electronic apparatus comprising:

a first casing and a second casing which are foldable to each other along a bearing portion (see figure 1, col 6 lines 48-67);

wherein a front face and back face of one of the first casing and the second casing are formed with respective sound emission holes that are aligned with to each other ((see figure 1, col 6 lines 48-67); and

wherein a speaker is provide at a position corresponding to the sound emission holes, and further wherein a front face of the other of the first casing and the second casing is formed with a through hole that is aligned with the sound emission holes in a state that the first casing and the second casing are folded together (see figure 1, col 6 lines 48-67).

Regarding claim 3, Kishimoto teaches an electronic apparatus wherein a front face 205 comprising a display and a keypad, wherein the display is located on one of the first casing and the second casing and the keypad is located on the other of the first casing and the second casing (see figure 1, col 6 lines 48-67).

Regarding claims 7, 9, Kishimoto teaches an electronic apparatus wherein a front face of the first facing and the second casing is formed with a through hole that is opposed to the sound emission hole in a state that the first casing and the second casing are folded together (see figure 1, col 6 lines 48-67).

Regarding claim 5, Kishimoto teaches an electronic apparatus wherein the through hole and the keypad are located on the same one of the first casing and the second casing (see figure 1, col 6 lines 48-67).

Regarding claims 6, 8, Kishimoto teaches an electronic apparatus comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/511,652

Art Unit: 2617

a first casing 202 and a second casing 204 which are foldable to each other along a bearing portion (see figure 1, col 6 lines 48-67) (see figure 2, (col 2 lines 60-67, col 3 lines 1-16);

display which is located on the first casing (see figure 1, col 6 lines 48-67);

a keypad which is located on the second casing (col 2 lines 60-67, col 3 lines 1
16);

herein a front face 205 and back face 207 of one of the first casing and the second casing are formed with respective sound emission holes that are aligned with to each other and are located nearer to the bearing portion than the display (see figure 1, col 6 lines 48-67); and

wherein a speaker is provide at a position corresponding to the sound emission holes (see figure 1, col 6 lines 48-67).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 4, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kishimoto et al. (US patent 7,016, 703) in view of Ijas et al. (US Patent 6,996,424 B2).

Regarding claim 4, Kishimoto fails to teach an electronic apparatus wherein the sound emission holes and the display are located on the same one of the first casing and the second casing. However, Ijas teaches an electronic apparatus wherein the sound emission holes and the display are located on the same one of the first casing and the second casing (col 5 lines 32-67, col 6 lines 1-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the above teaching of Ijas with Kishimoto, in order to provide a display larger unit than one display at one time, also adjacent to the stereo speakers of the device.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e.,any hole on the back face of the upper main body) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

## 5. Any responses to this action should be mailed to:

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Naghmeh Mehrpour whose telephone number is 571-272-7913. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold be reached (571) 272-7905.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NM

January 29, 2007